



Army Aviation Systems Program Review'82

Organization And Force Structure Panel



Major General John W. Woodmansee Jr.

Commanding General
2d Armored Division
Fort Hood, TX
Panel Chairman

During the conduct of the AASPR, MG Woodmansee was deputy commanding general, V Corps, United States Army Europe

THE ORGANIZATION and Force Structure Panel (figure 1) addressed five major issues (figure 2) which were derived from the findings of the Army Aviation Mission Area Analysis (AAMAA) and analysis of the aviation organizations of 1986 as applied to the total Army analysis (TAA-88) force structure.

The first issue considered was the fact that the current CONUS (continental United States) vs. OCONUS (outside continental United States) aircraft distribution program is, in some cases, not supportable. In order to maintain the current personnel assignment philosophy of 3-year stabilized CONUS

~~CONUS~~
OCONUS

tours, the CONUS base must contain sufficient aviation force structure to provide for stable tours in both CONUS and OCONUS. In a long tour area, such as Europe, Hawaii, Alaska and Panama, the CONUS base must contain roughly one mechanic or pilot to support each one that is forward deployed. For short tour areas such as Korea, we need to have three people in the CONUS base to support each one stationed overseas. If the CONUS base cannot support the OCONUS distribution, we must overtrain, hand manage and accept personnel turbulence in the affected aviation officer, warrant officer and enlisted specialties. This has an obvious impact on morale, stability, unit cohesion and

